

THE SPINNING BIG BANG OF BIG BANG OF BIG BANG

R8 — Vortex Input, Rotational Dynamics, and Vortex Output

A Speculative Research Framework for the Possible Origin of Primordial Cosmic Rotation

GHAILAN IRGH

October 11, 2026

Speculative Hypothesis and Research Programme

Abstract

This paper presents R8, a speculative extension of the author's earlier framework, The Fractal Cosmological Hierarchy and the Infinite Regress of Creation Events: Beyond the Observable Horizon (Version 5, June 2026), and the subsequent R8 research framework on rotational vacuum decay and spin inheritance. R8 asked whether a rotating parent spacetime could influence a daughter-universe domain. R8 addresses a prior question: what physical process, if any, could generate the primordial rotation assumed by such a scenario.

R8 proposes a conceptual sequence called the vortex input–rotation–vortex output hypothesis. In this exploratory picture, an inflow or convergent dynamical configuration (vortex input) may, under a yet-unspecified physical mechanism, produce or organize angular momentum and rotational structure. A transition or outflow configuration (vortex output) is then hypothesized as a possible route toward a new cosmological domain whose early state could possess a rotational degree of freedom. The Great Attractor is discussed only as an observationally interesting inspiration for questions about large-scale cosmic flows; it is not identified as an established vortex, a cosmic portal, or a demonstrated cause of the Big Bang.

The familiar analogy of water entering and leaving a river vortex motivates the questions but does not establish that the same mechanism operates in relativistic cosmology. Convergent flow is not equivalent to vorticity, and neither by itself demonstrates global rotation. R8 therefore does not claim that a vortex input–output process has been derived, that our universe was born spinning, or that a daughter universe can emerge through such a process. Instead, it defines a research programme: specify the physical meaning of input and output, formulate a spacetime and field model, derive the generation and transfer of angular momentum, and identify a quantitative observational signature that can be tested against non-rotating reference models.

The framework is intentionally presented as a hypothesis rather than an established scientific theory. Its scientific value will depend on mathematical consistency, engagement with existing rotating-universe and vacuum-transition literature, and at least one falsifiable prediction. The mathematical outline and research questions were prepared with AI assistance; all equations and claims require independent verification.

Keywords: primordial cosmic rotation; cosmological vorticity; vortex input; vortex output; Great Attractor; cosmic flows; angular momentum; daughter universe; vacuum transition; multiverse; falsifiable hypothesis.

1. Introduction: From Rotation to the Origin of Rotation

The R8 framework explored the possibility that a parent spacetime with a rotational degree of freedom might influence the formation of a daughter-universe domain. That proposal leaves a prior question open: why would the parent configuration rotate in the first place? Simply assigning rotation to an initial state is a legitimate modeling choice, but it does not explain the origin of that rotation.

R8 shifts the emphasis from the possible inheritance of rotation to a possible mechanism for generating it. The central idea is that a hypothetical input process, a rotational transition, and a hypothetical output process may form a causal sequence. The labels 'vortex input' and 'vortex output' are conceptual terms introduced by this paper, not established names for known cosmological processes.

The proposal is inspired partly by the intuitive image of water entering and leaving a vortex in a river. That image is useful for asking how flow geometry and angular momentum may be related. However, cosmological spacetime is not a material river, and an analogy cannot substitute for field equations or evidence. R8 uses the analogy to formulate a question, not to assert equivalence between fluid vortices and the Big Bang.

2. The R8 Conceptual Sequence

2.1 Vortex Input

'Vortex input' denotes a hypothetical incoming or convergent configuration in a parent cosmological setting. The term remains intentionally open: the input might refer to matter-energy flow, a field configuration, a geometric boundary condition, angular momentum, or a combination of these. These possibilities are not interchangeable; a viable model must select and define the relevant physical quantities rather than treating 'input' as an undefined substance.

Rather than viewing the Great Attractor merely as a passive motivation to study large-scale galaxy motions, R8 proposes a more robust mechanical model: the Great Attractor functions as a macro-scale boundary-rebound manifestation driven by inter-vortex domain walls (cosmic fenders).

Within this framework:

- The Inflow and Confinement: Galactic clusters do not merely drift toward a dead center under standard gravitational attraction; their trajectories are channeled, compressed, and structurally redirected back toward the core by the overarching tension of parent-vortex boundaries (*domain-wall fenders*).
- The Hydrodynamic Analogy: Just as fluid flowing into a macroscopic vortex experiences pressure gradients and boundary redirection at its walls, the cosmological domain wall acts as a structural barrier preventing matter from bleeding infinitely outward, forcing a dynamic rebound.
- Observational Nexus: The Great Attractor serves as the observable zone where the kinetic energy of the cosmic inflow is deflected and focused by the larger geometry of the parent vortex.

While observed peculiar velocities and convergent streamlines do not, by themselves, establish a coherent vortex or global cosmic rotation, R8 introduces this boundary-induced structural engine to operate alongside standard gravitational forces. The framework does not claim that the Great Attractor feeds our universe as a literal input portal, but investigates whether a physically defined domain-wall mechanism can provide a concrete physical foundation for large-scale cosmic flows.

2.2 Rotational Dynamics

The second stage asks how the proposed input could generate rotational structure. In ordinary fluid mechanics, vorticity, circulation, boundary conditions, and angular-momentum transport help determine whether a flow develops a vortex. In general relativity, rotation must be described through a specified spacetime geometry and physically meaningful observables. A cosmological model must define its velocity or congruence field, the relevant vorticity quantity, the stress-energy content, and the field equations.

R8 does not yet derive a mechanism that converts an input configuration into a rotating parent or daughter spacetime. It treats this conversion as the central unresolved problem. Any angular momentum budget must be defined consistently; it cannot simply be assumed to pass from one spacetime domain to another.

2.3 Vortex Output

'Vortex output' denotes a hypothetical outgoing or transition configuration that might be associated with the emergence of a new cosmological domain. The term does not imply that a known outlet, tunnel, white hole, or bubble-

generation mechanism exists. A model must explain what physically exists, what spacetime region the output belongs to, and how a transition could lead to a daughter domain.

To connect this stage to R8, the output would need to be shown to produce a daughter universe with a well-defined rotational degree of freedom. That result would require a consistent solution of the relevant gravitational and matter-field equations, together with initial and boundary conditions. R8 does not claim that such a solution has been found.

2.4 Proposed Sequence

VORTEX INPUT → ROTATIONAL DYNAMICS → VORTEX OUTPUT → POSSIBLE SPINNING DAUGHTER UNIVERSE

This sequence is a conceptual map of the hypothesis, not a demonstrated physical chain. Each arrow represents a separate question that must be answered mathematically. Failure to derive one link would require revising or abandoning that version of the model.

3. Relationship to the Grand–Father–Daughter Hierarchy

The author's earlier Version 5 proposed a speculative hierarchy of cosmological generations, including a Grand Big Bang, a Father Big Bang, and our observable Big Bang. R8 explored the possibility that a rotating parent domain could influence a daughter domain through a hypothetical vacuum transition and that a rotational property might be inherited.

R8 adds a different question to this hierarchy: could a vortex input–output process offer a physical explanation for how a rotational degree of freedom arises? In the proposed narrative, a mechanism at a parent level might contribute to the formation of a rotating configuration, which could then be associated with a subsequent cosmological domain. This is a conjectural extension; neither the hierarchy nor the proposed transitions are observationally established.

R8 does not assume that the Grand Big Bang, Father Big Bang, or any other parent domain is a known physical object. These terms identify levels within the author's speculative framework and must ultimately be mapped to precise mathematical definitions if the proposal is to become a testable model.

4. Distinguishing Convergence, Vorticity, and Global Rotation

A key requirement is to distinguish three ideas. Convergence describes trajectories moving toward a region. Vorticity describes local rotational characteristics of a defined flow field. Global cosmic rotation refers to a rotational property of the cosmological model or spacetime that must be defined invariantly or through physically meaningful observables. These concepts are related in some circumstances but are not synonymous.

Consequently, the existence of a gravitational attractor or a converging pattern of galaxy velocities is not evidence by itself for a vortex input. R8 must specify the observed field, its smoothing scale, coordinate-independent or otherwise physically justified vorticity estimator, uncertainties, and comparison with the predictions of standard cosmology. The Great Attractor is not presented as proof of the hypothesis.

5. A Minimal Mathematical Research Programme

R8 does not introduce a new equation as if it were already a result. Instead, it identifies the minimum mathematical tasks needed to make the proposal precise:

1. Define the parent spacetime geometry and the physical variables that constitute the proposed input.
2. Specify the matter or field content and derive the coupled gravitational and field equations from an explicit action.
3. Define a physically meaningful vorticity or rotational observable for the chosen spacetime and state how it evolves.
4. Specify the transition mechanism linking the input configuration to the proposed output, including initial and boundary conditions.

5. Define the angular-momentum accounting across the transition. Any relation such as $J_{\text{child}} = \alpha J_{\text{parent}}$ must remain phenomenological unless derived from the full model.
6. Determine whether the outgoing configuration can be interpreted consistently as a daughter cosmological domain and whether it has a nonzero rotational degree of freedom.
7. Calculate at least one quantitative observable and compare it with a non-rotating reference model and existing observational constraints.

The action and scalar-field potential used as exploratory starting points in R8 could be revisited if they are appropriate to a specific R8 mechanism. They should not be copied into R8 as proof of vortex generation. A mathematical framework is useful only when its equations are connected to the claimed physical process and solved under explicit assumptions.

6. Possible Observational Tests

R8 does not currently predict a unique CMB temperature or polarization pattern. If a concrete rotating-transition solution can be derived, its predictions could be propagated into the cosmic microwave background (CMB) and compared with a matched non-rotating model. Candidate observables might include a defined angular correlation, anisotropy pattern, or polarization statistic, but no amplitude, angular scale, or detection threshold is asserted here.

A second possible line of inquiry is large-scale peculiar-velocity data. Such data would have to be analyzed for a specified vorticity signal rather than interpreted as a vortex by visual analogy. The predicted statistic, data selection, smoothing scale, nuisance effects, and decision rule should be set out before examining results.

A null result would constrain only the versions of the model that predict a signal within the sensitivity of the analysis. A positive pattern would not uniquely confirm R8 unless competing explanations were evaluated. Observational compatibility is necessary but does not by itself establish the proposed mechanism.

7. Falsifiability and Failure Conditions

R8 should be treated as scientifically useful only if it exposes itself to the possibility of being wrong. The following outcomes would count against a specified version of the proposal:

- No consistent solution exists for the defined input-to-output transition under the stated assumptions.
- The proposed input has no mathematically defined rotational quantity or cannot generate the claimed rotational state.
- The angular-momentum accounting is inconsistent or depends on undefined quantities.
- The resulting observable is indistinguishable from the non-rotating reference model over the parameter range where the proposal claims a difference.
- The predicted signal conflicts with reliable observations after uncertainties and competing effects are included.

Conversely, a visually suggestive flow pattern or an analogy with water vortices would not be sufficient confirmation. The model needs a derived mechanism, a defined observable, and comparison with alternatives.

8. Limitations and Status of the Proposal

R8 does not establish:

- That the Great Attractor is a vortex, input portal, or source of the Big Bang.
- That a vortex input–output process exists in cosmological spacetime.
- That our universe was born with global rotation.
- That a rotating parent domain or the Grand–Father–Daughter hierarchy exists as a physical fact.
- That angular momentum is transferred to a daughter universe according to a known law.
- That a specific CMB signature or large-scale vorticity signal has been derived.
- That the proposal replaces or contradicts the Λ CDM model on observational grounds.

These limitations are part of the intended scope of the manuscript. R8 is a speculative research framework designed to state a question and organize a route toward a mathematical test. The term 'theory' may be used informally to describe the author's proposed idea, but in scientific usage a theory normally requires a well-developed, internally consistent explanatory structure supported by evidence. For that reason, the subtitle and abstract use 'speculative hypothesis' and 'research framework' rather than implying that R8 is an established scientific theory.

9. Research Roadmap

8. Stage 1 — Operational definitions: specify exactly what 'vortex input' and 'vortex output' mean physically.
9. Stage 2 — Literature review: compare the proposal with rotating cosmologies, relativistic vorticity, vacuum decay, bubble nucleation, and baby-universe scenarios.
10. Stage 3 — Mathematical model: choose the geometry, fields, action, initial conditions, and boundary conditions.
11. Stage 4 — Mechanism: derive whether and how the input can produce a rotating output and a daughter domain.
12. Stage 5 — Observables: calculate a quantitative signal and define a matched reference model.
13. Stage 6 — Data comparison: test the prediction with uncertainties, nuisance effects, and a pre-defined decision rule.

10. Conclusion

R8 moves the author's speculative cosmological programme from the question of whether a Big Bang could be rotating to the deeper question of how primordial rotation might arise. Its proposed vortex input–rotation–vortex output sequence is an organizing hypothesis inspired by flow analogies, not a demonstrated cosmological mechanism. The Great Attractor motivates questions about large-scale motion but is not evidence that the universe is supplied by a cosmic vortex.

The central scientific task is to replace the analogy with a precise relativistic model: define the input, derive the rotational dynamics, specify the output transition, account for angular momentum, and produce a falsifiable observable. Until those steps are completed, R8 should be presented as a speculative hypothesis or research programme. Its value lies in making the proposed mechanism explicit enough to be investigated, constrained, revised, or rejected.

References and Bibliographic Notes

14. Borde, A., Guth, A. H., & Vilenkin, A. (2003). Inflationary spacetimes are incomplete in past directions. *Physical Review Letters*, 90, 151301.
15. Coleman, S. (1977). Fate of the false vacuum: Semiclassical theory. *Physical Review D*, 15, 2929–2936.
16. Coleman, S., & De Luccia, F. (1980). Gravitational effects on and of vacuum decay. *Physical Review D*, 21, 3305–3315.
17. Gödel, K. (1949). An example of a new type of cosmological solutions of Einstein's field equations of gravitation. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 21, 447–450.
18. Tully, R. B., et al. (2023). CosmicFlows-4. *The Astrophysical Journal*. Exact title, volume, pages, and DOI should be verified before submission.
19. Hoffman, Y., & Zurek, W. H. (1988). Is the 'great attractor' a loop of cosmic string? *Nature*, 333, 46–48. (A historical speculative proposal; not evidence that the Great Attractor is a vortex.)

Bibliographic note: verify full metadata, DOI, and relevance of every reference before formal submission. These references provide context and do not constitute observational confirmation of R8. A systematic literature review is needed before making claims of novelty.

AI Assistance Disclosure

AI systems assisted with language organization, conceptual structuring, and drafting. The author remains responsible for the claims and should independently verify the mathematical formulations, bibliographic details, and scientific interpretation before publication.